## **METHOXYMETHYLENE(TRIPHENYL)PHOSPHORANE FROM ALKYLLITHIUM REAGENTS**

Charles L. Anderson,<sup>1</sup> John A. Soderquist<sup>\*</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

and

George W. Kabalka Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37996

**Abstract.** Whereas Li(t-Bu) is an efficient base for the generation of  $Ph_3PCHOMe$ , Li(n-Bu) gives both this ylide and a butylidene ylide which is consistent with a  $Ph_2(MeOCH_3)PCH(n-Pr)$  formulation. <sup>31</sup>P NMR studies and their reactions with acylstlanes are described.



For over three decades, methoxymethylene(triphenyl)phosphorane (1) has been extensively employed for the homologation of carbonyl compounds.<sup>2,3</sup> After initial success with LiPh,<sup>2a,b</sup> the wide variety of bases which have been employed for the preparation of 1 from its phosphonium salt include dimsylsodium, alkoxides, amides and a variety of alkyllithiums. We became interested in the generation of 1 with standard alkyllithium bases because the Wittig olefination of acylsilanes is successful only under "Li<sup>+</sup>-catalyzed" conditions.<sup>4</sup> While either Li(*n*-Bu) or Li(*t*-Bu) produced comparable results for alkylidene ylides, for 1, Li(*t*-Bu) proved to be markedly superior to Li(*n*-Bu).<sup>4a</sup> Intrigued by this difference, we were surprised to find that Li(*n*-Bu) is commonly employed to prepare this ylide.<sup>2n-y</sup> We carried out a comparison of these two bases, employing both <sup>31</sup>P NMR experiments and product studies with acylsilane substrates (2), which clearly illustrate the basic differences between these alkyllithium reagents in this process.



At -90 °C, the slow addition of Li(t-Bu) (1 equiv) to a stirred slurry of (Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OMe)Cl in THF produces a deep-red solution of **1**, which by <sup>31</sup>P NMR, is formed in  $\geq$ 90% yield ( $\delta$  7.9 ppm) along with PPh<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  -5.1 ppm) and several other unidentified species. Upon warming to 25 °C, the signal for **1** shifts to  $\delta$  5.8 ppm and its <sup>1</sup>H-coupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum (161.9 MHz) reveals both <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-H</sub> (48 Hz) and <sup>3</sup>J<sub>P-H</sub> (12 Hz) coupling with the  $\alpha$ -

ylidic and o-phenyl protons, respectively. The decomposition of  $1,^5$  which begins during this warm-up, is complete in <24 h at 25 °C, forming mainly PPh<sub>3</sub> (ca. 70%)<sup>6</sup> and other phosphorus-containing materials ( $\delta$  28.5 and 29.5 ppm). This same procedure using Li(*n*-Bu) as the base produces a ca. 2:1 ratio of 1, and a second ylide, 4, whose <sup>1</sup>H-coupled signal at  $\delta$  7.6 ppm (25 °C) lacks discernable fine structure. This mixture is also unstable at 25 °C giving rise to many new <sup>31</sup>P signals. Thus, unlike the stable Ph<sub>3</sub>PCHPr (5),<sup>7</sup> neither 1 nor 4 could be concentrated for further characterization by <sup>1</sup>H or <sup>13</sup>C NMR.

After the generation of **1** with Li(*t*-Bu), pentanoyltrimethylsilane (**2b**) was added at -90 °C which produced a sharp <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal at -63.2 ppm indicative of the formation of the oxaphosphetane **6b** (*vide infra*). Also evident were minor signals attributable to PPh<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  -7.5 ppm) and LiCl•(Ph<sub>3</sub>PO)<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta$  30.0 ppm) as well as to other species ( $\delta$  -53.1 and -57.4 ppm). As the temperature rises, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal for **6b** begins to diminish at -60 °C with the reciprocative production of that for LiCl•(Ph<sub>3</sub>PO)<sub>x</sub>, their sum remaining essentially constant at 85±5% throughout the process. GC analysis of the reaction mixture reveals that **3b** is formed as a 79:21 *Z/E* mixture in 83% yield (Table 1). Repeating this at -78 °C and adding PhCHO prior to the warm-up, produced no cross-over products, demonstrating the irreversible formation of **6b**. Evidently unresolvable by <sup>31</sup>P NMR at 121.4 MHz, the isomeric oxaphosphetanes, **6b**, are calculated to be of essentially equal energy in their MMX-minimized structures, shown below with selected atoms highlighted (0.2 CPK).



(3R\*, 4R\*)



(3R\*, 4S\*)

AcTMS (2a) produces results comparable to those from 2b (cf. Table 1). The yield of 3a increases only slightly with an excess of 1, but this excess facilitates its isolation in pure form by avoiding unreacted 2a. Increasing the size of the silvl groups (AcTES (2c)) only slightly increases the Z selectivity (84:16) of the olefination at the expense of its efficiency (3c, 69%). The enolate of 2c is formed in a competitive process, and this is trapped with TMSCl to give 7 (ca. 30%). For AcTIPS (2d), 8 is the sole product, which, like 7, was independently prepared from 2 by the LDA/TMSCl method.

**6**b



Entry	2	R	R'	Yield of 3ª	E:Z	bp(Torr)
1	a	Ме	Ме	<b>81(50)</b> ⁵	76:24	119-130(760)
2	Ъ	n-Bu	Ме	83(51)	79:21	92-98(39)
3	с	Ме	Et	69(33)	84:16	102-116(62)
4	đ	Me	i-Pr	0		

**Table 1.**  $\beta$ -Silylated Vinyl Ethers from Acylsilanes and 1.

\* GC (Isolated yields of analytically pure material). A 1:1 molar ratio of Li(t-Bu)/(Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OMe)Cl was used to generate 1 in each case. <sup>b</sup> GC Yields (*Z/E*) with increased equiv of 1: 83 (75:25), 1.5; 85 (76:24), 2.0; 88 (78:22), 4.0.

We returned to the generation of 1 with Li(*n*-Bu), allowing it to react with 2a and, as when Li(*t*-Bu) was used. **3a** was produced as a similar Z/E mixture (70:30) but in much lower yield (47% vs. 81%). Surprisingly, **9** (27%) was also produced as a 60:40 Z/E mixture. Each of these products was identified by GC/MS, and **9** was also isolated (prep GC) to firmly establish its 2-TMS-2-hexene composition spectroscopically. This product is readily available (82%) from **5** (LiBr present) and **2a**, but this ylide produces **9** as a 96:4 Z/E mixture.<sup>4a</sup>



These observations clearly indicate that the second ylide, **4** ( $\delta$  7.6 ppm) while not **5** ( $\delta$  12.2 ppm), is a butylidene ylide. Consistent with its mixed ligand composition, it is known<sup>8</sup> that such ylides (i.e. Ph<sub>2</sub>(R')PCHR, R' = Me, allyl) exhibit significantly lower Z-selectivity under irreversible conditions than does Ph<sub>3</sub>PCHR as was observed for **4**. Also, Pettit's observation<sup>2n</sup> that butylidene products are produced with ((*n*-Bu)<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OMe)Cl/Li(*n*-Bu) can be taken as evidence that in **4**, the butylidene ylide is favored over its methoxymethide alternative. Moreover, Seyferth<sup>9</sup> has observed the displacement of LiPh by Li(*n*-Bu) in the generation of Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>, but not with alkylidene systems (Ph<sub>3</sub>PCHR). These facts suggest that **4** is derived from (Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OMe)Cl and Li(*n*-Bu) by the initial displacement of LiPh by Li(*n*-Bu) and GC/MS, revealed that benzene (MS library match) was produced in  $\leq$ 47% yield (incomplete resolution). It can be concluded that just as was observed with Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>, nucleophilic reactions at phosphorus compete with the formation of **1** using Li(*n*-Bu). Therefore, the bulkier Li(*t*-Bu) or other bases<sup>2n,b,3</sup> are preferable. MMX-generated minimum energy structures for the ylene form of ylides **1**, **4**, and **5** are illustrated below.







## **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

1. The support of the NIH-MBRS Program (RR08102) is gratefully acknowledged.

2. LIR: (a) Levine, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 6150. (b) Wittig, G.; Schlosser, M. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 1373. (c) Wittig, G.; Böll, W.; Krück, K.-H. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95, 2514. (d) Schlude, H. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 89. (e) Field, D. J.; Jones, D. W.; Kneen, G. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1978, 1050. (f) Bishop, R.; Parker, W.; Stevenson, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1981, 565. (g) Oppolzer, W.; Grayson, J. I.; Wegmann, H.; Urrea, M. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3695. (h) Johnson, W. S.; Chen, Y-Q.; Kellogg, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6653. (1) Gibson, K. J.; D'Alarcao, M.; Leonard, N. J. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2462. (j) Brillon, D. Synthetic Commun. 1986, 16, 291. (k) Shizuri, Y.; Okuno, Y.; Shigemori, H.; Yamamuri, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 6661. (I) Johnson, W. S.; Telfer, S. J.; Cheng, S.; Schubert, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2517. (m) Nakamura, N.; Fujisaka, T.; Nojima, M.; Kusabayashi, S.; McCullough, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1799. Li(n-Bu): (n) Pettit, G. R.; Green, B.; Dunn, G.L.; Plassmann, P. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1385. (o) Trost, B. M.; Herdie, W. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 1988. (p) Webber, G. F.; Hall, S. S. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 364. (q) Kozikowski, A. P.; Ishida, H.; Chen, Y-Y. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3350. (r) Kano, S.; Sugino, E.; Shibuya, S.; Hibino, S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3856. (s) Miyashita, M.; Makino, N.; Singh, M.; Yoshikoshi, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I. 1982, 1303. (t) Kumar, K.; Wang, S-S.; Sukenik, C. N. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 665. (u) Abarca, B.; Ballestros, R.; Jones, G. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1984, 21, 1585. (v) Thompson, A.; Canella, K. A.; Lever, J. R.; Miura, K.; Posner, G. H.; Seliger, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 4498. (w) Nagaoka, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Matsui, T.; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 2021. (x) Parkes, K. E. B.; Pattenden, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1. 1988, 1119. (y) Rigby, J. H.; Kierkus, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4125.

Aikoxides: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Schliess, P. W. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 6. (b) Casagrande, C.; Canonica, L.; Severini-Ricca, G. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1975, 1652. (c) Schow, S. R.; McMorris, T. C. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3760. (d) Pyne, S. G.; Hensel, M. J.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5719.
(e) Newton, R. F.; Wadsworth, A. H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1982, 823. (f) Hamada, Y.; Kawai, A.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5409. (g) Dharaniparagada, R.; Fodor, G. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1986, 545. (h) Kawai, A.; Hara, O.; Hamada, Y.; Shiooro, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6331. (f) Hutchings, M. G.; Chippendale, A. M.; Ferguson, I. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3727. (j) Mehta, G.; Reddy, K. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3607. (k) Kawai, A.; Hara, O.; Hamada, Y.; Shiooro, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 24, 1171. (b) Evans, E. H.; Hewson, A. T.; March, L. A.; Nowell, I. W.; Wadsworth, A. H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1987, 137. (c) Paquette, L.; Schaefer, A. G.; Springer, J. P. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5567. (d) Larock, R. C.; Hsu, M. H.; Narayanan, K. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 2891. (e) Paquette, L. A.; Bulman-Page, P. C.; Pansegrau, P. D. Weiedeman, P. E. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1450. (f) Majetich, G.; Defauw, J. Tetrahedron
(d) Hayakawa, Y.; Yokoyama, K.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1799. (b) Danishefsky, S.; Harvey, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6647.

4. (a) Soderquist, J. A.; Anderson, C. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2425. (b) Idem ibid. 1988, 29, 2777.

5. Yamamoto, Y.; Kanda, Z. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 3436.

6. PPh<sub>a</sub>, previously observed as a reaction by-product,<sup>2n</sup> probably resulted from the decomposition of 1.

7. **5**: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF-d<sub>e</sub>)  $\delta$  11.1 (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 118.3 Hz); 29.4 (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 5.8 Hz); 29.6 (<sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 11.7 Hz); 14.0; 134.2 (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 82.9 Hz); 133.0 (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.2 Hz); 128.8 (<sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 11.1 Hz); 131.1 (<sup>4</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 2.5 Hz) ppm. ( $\alpha$ -<sup>1</sup>H 0.65, dt, J = 17.2, 8.1 Hz). See also: Schlosser, M.; Liu, Z.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. **1990**, 31, 5753.

8. (a) Vedejs, E.; Fang, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 210. (b) Vedejs, E.; Fleck, T.; Hara, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4637. (c) Vedejs, E.; Marth, C. F.; Ruggeri, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3940. (d) Vedejs, E.; Marth, C. F. ibid. 1988, 110, 3948. (d) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863.

9. (a) Seyferth, D.; Hughes, W. B.; Heeren, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 2847. (b) Seyferth, D.; Hughes, W. B.; Heeren, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 3467. (c) Seyferth, D.; Singh, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 4156.

(Received in USA 30 June 1992; accepted 19 August 1992)